

## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 02849 231150Z

46

ACTION EUR-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 EB-11 COME-00 OMB-01 ACDA-19 CIAE-00

PM-07 INR-10 L-03 NSAE-00 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03

USIA-15 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 SS-20 NSC-07 DRC-01 /130 W

----- 011387

P 231100Z MAY 74

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 5852

SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY

INFO USNMR SHAPE

S E C R E T USNATO 2849

E.O. 11652: XGDS1

TAGS: MCAP NATO

SUBJECT: NATO INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDING FOR NON-EARMARKED US FORCES

REF: STATE 900644

BEGIN SUMMARY. EXISTING NATO POLICY LIMITS COMMON FUNDS TO FACILITIES IN SUPPORT OF ASSIGNED OR EARMARKED FORCES. POLICY STEMS FROM PRECEDENT RATHER THAN DIRECTIVE AND ALLIES COULD REVERSE IT IF THEY SO DESIRE. TRYING TO DO SO WOULD REQUIRE MAJOR EFFORT, HOWEVER, WITH OUTCOME UNCERTAIN. EVEN IF WE SUCCEED IN HAVING NON-EARMARKED FORCES DECLARED ELIGIBLE FOR INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT, HIGHER PRIORITY PROJECTS FOR ASSIGNED AND EARMARKED FORCES WOULD EXHAUST THE FUNDS EXPECTED TO BE AVAILABLE IN THE 1975-1979 PERIOD. END SUMMARY.

1. CAREFUL RESEARCH OF MISSION AND NATO FILES AND CONSULTATION WITH SHAPE AND INTERNATIONAL STAFF REPS INDICATE THAT NATO PROGRAMMED INFRASTRUCTURE APPLIED ONLY TO QUOTE ASSIGNED UNQUOTE FORCES UNTIL SLICE XIX. DURING SCREENING OF SLICE XX IN 1969, INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE QUERIED SHAPE ON ELIGIBILITY OF FORCES QUOTE EARMARKED FOR ASSIGNMENT UNQUOTE IN CONNECTION WITH PROGRAMMING OF FACILITIES FOR US TRANSPORT AIRLIFT. SHAPE'S REPLY (ANNEX I TO DP/4-R/713) HAS CONSTITUTED  
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 02849 231150Z

COMMITTEE JUSTIFICATION SINCE THAT TTIME FOR EXTENDING INFRA-  
STRUCTURE ELIGIBILITY TO WORKS FOR EARMARKED FORCES IN ADDITION  
TO THOSE FOR ASSIGNED FORCES.

2. US CAN SEEK TO ESTABLISH A CATEGORY OF INFRASTRUCTURE FOR NON-EARMARKED FORCES BY REQUESTING A RULING BY THE INFRASTRUCTURE COMMITTEE AND/OR THE DFENSE PLANNING COMMITTEE. IF SO DIRECTED, MISSION WOULD BASE ITS ARGUMENTS ON THE FACT THAT NATIONAL FORCES, EVEN THOUGH NOT EARMARKED FOR NATO COMMAND AT ANY PARTICULAR PHASE OF ALERT OR CRISIS, COULD BE IN PLACE IN EUROPE WITHIN 24 HOURS OF THE DECISION TO TRANSFER THEM IF FACILITIES WERE AVAILABLE IN THE PROPSEER PLACES. IT WOULD BEHOOVE NATO THEREFORE TO SUPPORT INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDING FOR THE PRIOR CREATION OF SUCH FACILITIES. MUCH LOBBYING WOULD BE NECESSARY WITH NATO MILITARY AUTHORITIES(NMAS), WHOSE RECOMMENDATIONS WOULD HAVE A MAJOR EFFECT ON DECISIONS BY THE COMMITTEES.

3. IF WE WERE SUCCESSFUL IN ACHIEVEING NATO INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT FOR NON-EARMARKED FORCES, OUR NEXT PROBLEM WULD BE AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS, SINCE IT IS EVIDENT THAT THE NMAS WOULD GIVE A HIGHER PRIORITY TO FACILITIES FOR FORCES COMING UNDER NATO COMMAND AT SPECIFIED DATES. NMAS HAVE ALREADY RECOGNIZED POTENTIAL PROJECTS AMOUNTING TO SOME IAU 926 MILLION AND ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS FOR ASSIGNED AND EARMARKED FORCES ARE NOW BEING EXAMINED. IT IS UNLIKELY THAT THE NATIONS WILL MAKE AVAILABLE MORE THAN IAU 450 MILLION (OR OPTIMISTICALLY IAU 600 MILLION) FOR THE 1975-79 PERIOD. IT APPEARS, THEREFORE, THAT INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT FOR NON-EARMARKED FORCES, EVEN THOUGH DECLARED ELIGIBLE FOR SUCH SUPPORT, WOULD NOT BE AVAILABLE UNTIL AFTER 1979 AT THE EARLIEST. MISSION BELIEVES, HOWEVER, THAT SOME PORTION OF THE REQUIRED SUPPORT FOR THESE SAME FORCES WOULD BE MADE AVAILABLE ON A PRIORITY BASIS IF THE FORCES WERE EARMARKED FOR TRANSFER TO NATO COMMAND AT A SPECIFIED TIME.  
MCAULIFFE

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 11 JUN 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 23 MAY 1974  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** garlanwa  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1974ATO02849  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 XGDS1  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** NATO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19740587/abbryvcc.tel  
**Line Count:** 87  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** STATE 900644  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** garlanwa  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 09 APR 2002  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <09 APR 2002 by shawdg>; APPROVED <09-Sep-2002 by garlanwa>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** NATO INFRASTRUCTURE FUNDING FOR NON-EARMARKED US FORCES  
**TAGS:** MCAP NATO  
**To:** STATE  
**SECDEF INFO USNMR SHAPE**  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005